

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,888	12/08/2003	Francois Cottard	06028.0036-00	9625
22852 7590 03/28/2007 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAMINER	
			ELHILO, EISA B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1751	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		*t\				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Asticus Communication	10/728,888	COTTARD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eisa B. Elhilo	1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON titute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	9 March 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,7-58 and 62-83</u> is/are pending	in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,7-58 and 62-83</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bur	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	∧ □	Summan (DTO 442)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

- A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/19/2007 has been entered.
- 2 The cancellation of claims 4-6 and 59-61 is acknowledged. Pending claims are 1-3, 7-58 and 62-83.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 7-45, 47, 55-56 and 62-83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cottard et al. (US 2001/0023514 A1) in view of Grollier et al. (US 4,357,141).

Cottard et al. (US' 514 A1) teaches a composition for oxidation dyeing of hair comprising oxidation bases chosen from para-phenylenediamines of a formula (I) as claimed in claims 1-3 and 12-15 (see page 6, formula I), double bases of a formula (II) as claimed in claim 16 (see page 6, formula II), para-aminophenols of a formula (III) as claimed in claims 17 (see page 7, formula III), heterocyclic bases as claimed in claim 18 (see page 7, paragraph, 0140), fatty amide (see page 18, paragraph, 0370), wherein the oxidation bases are presented in the claimed amounts as claimed in claims 19-20 (see page 8, paragraph, 0160), couplers chosen from

Application/Control Number: 10/728,888

Art Unit: 1751

meta-phenylenediamines presented in the claimed amounts as claimed in claims 21-23 (see page 8, paragraphs, 0162 and 0163), acid addition salts of chosen from hydrochlorides and hydrobromides as claimed in claim 24 (see page 8, paragraph, 0164), direct dyes as claimed in claim 25 (see page 8, paragraph, 0164), at least one non-oxyalkylenated fatty alcohols such as lauryl, cetyl and oleyl cloohol in the amount of 0.001 to 20% by weight which overlapped with the claimed amounts as claimed in claims 9-11 (see page 17, paragraph, 0347), at least one associative polymer of fatty chain anionic associative polymer, acrylic terpolymer and copolymers as claimed in claims 26-38 and 41-42 (see page 3, paragraphs, 0050-0057 and page 4, paragraphs, 0065-0088 and page 5, paragraphs, 0089-0090), associative polymer is nonionic polymer modified with groups comprising at least one fatty chain as claimed in claim 39 (see page 4, paragraphs, 0077), associative polymer of polyurethane polyethers as claimed in claim 40 (see page 4, paragraph, 0088), wherein the associative polymer is chosen from quaternized cellulose modified with fatty chain as claimed in claims 43-45 (see page 5, paragraphs, 0100-0103), wherein the at least one amphoteric polymer comprises at least one fatty chain having 8 to 30 carbon atoms chosen from alkyl radical (non-cyclic cationic unit) as claimed in claim 47 (see page 5, paragraphs 0102 and 0103), wherein the associative polymer is presented in the amounts of 0.01 to 10% and 0.1 to 5% as claimed in claims 55-56 (see page 5, paragraph, 0108), substantive polymers such as homopolymer of dimethyldiallylammonium chloride as claimed in claims 64-65 (see page 10, paragraph, 0206), substantive polymers in the claimed amounts as claimed in claims 66-70 (see page 12, formulae (W) and (U) and paragraph, 0245), surfactants in the amounts of 0.01 to 40% as claimed in claims 71-73 (see page 17, paragraph, 0339), guar gum thickeners in the amounts of 0.01 to 10% as claimed in claims 74-76 (see page 17, paragraphs,

Art Unit: 1751

0340 and 0341), reducing agent in the amounts of 0.05 to 1.5 % as claimed in claim 77 (see page 17, paragraph, 0346), wherein the composition also comprises hydrogen peroxide in the amount of 1 to 40 volumes as an oxidizing agent as claimed in claims 78-81 (see page 17, paragraph, 0349), wherein the composition has a pH in the range of 6-11 as claimed in claim 82 (see page 18, paragraph, 0351). Cottard et al. (US' 514 A1) also teaches a multi-compartment device comprising the dyeing composition as described above and as claimed in claims 83 (see page 23, claims 72-86). Cottard et al. (US' 514 A1).

The instant claims differ from the reference by reciting a composition comprising fatty acid amide of an alkanolamine and C14-C30 fatty acid.

However, Cottard et al. (US' 514 A1) teaches and suggests the use of fatty amide in the dyeing composition (see page 18, paragraph, 0370).

Grollier et al. (US' 141) in analogous art of hair dyeing formulation, teaches a composition comprising fatty amides such as oleic diethanolamide and stearic monoethanolamide as claimed in claim 1 (see col. 7, lines 24-26) and wherein these amide are presented in the amounts of 1 to 10% by weight which within the claimed range as claimed in claim 7 and overlapped with the claimed range as claimed in claim 8 (see col. 7, lines 28-30).

Therefore, in view of the teaching of the secondary reference, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, would be motivated to modify the composition of Cottard et al. (US' 514 A1) by incorporating the species of fatty acid amides as taught by Grollier et al. (US' 141) to make such a composition. Such a modification would be obvious because Cottard et al. (514 A1) as a primary reference suggests the use of the genus fatty amide in the composition (see page 18, paragraph, 0370). Grollier et al. (US' 141) as a secondary

Application/Control Number: 10/728,888

Art Unit: 1751

reference clearly teaches the claimed species of the fatty amides (see col. 7, lines 24-26), and, thus, a person of the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to incorporate the fatty acid amides as taught by Grollier et al. (US' 141) in the dyeing composition of Cottard et al. (US' 514 A1), because the ordinary artisan would have the reasonable expectation that any of the species of the genus would have similar properties and thus, the same use as the genus as a whole.

With respect to claims 62-63, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to formulate a dyeing composition comprising associative polymers, fatty alcohols and fatty amides with the claimed ratio, because Cottard et al. (US' 514 A1) clearly teaches and disclose the amounts of cationic polymers (see page 12, paragraph, 0245) and the amounts of associative polymers (see page 16, paragraph, 0315). Grollier et al. (US' 141) as a secondary reference teaches the amounts of the claimed species fatty amides and fatty alcohols and wherein the amounts of these dyeing ingredients are within the claimed ranges, and, thus a person of the ordinary skill would expect such a composition to have similar weight ratio between these dyeing ingredients and would expect such a composition to have similar properties to those claimed, absent unexpected results.

Claims 46, 48-54 and 57-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cottard et al. (US 2001/0023514 A1) in view of Grollier et al. (US' 4,357,141) and further in view of Laurent et al. (US 2002/0046431 A1).

The disclosures of Cottard et al. (US' 514 A1) and Grollier er al. (US' 141) as described above, do not teach or disclose the cationic amphiphilic polyurethane polymers as claimed.

However, the reference clearly suggests the use of associative cationic polymers such as quaternized cellulose in the dyeing composition (see page 5, paragraph, 0099).

Art Unit: 1751

Laurent et al. (US' 431 A1) in analogous art of hair dyeing formulation, teaches a composition comprising a cationic polyurethane of a formula (Ia), which is similar to the claimed formula (Ia) as claimed in claims 46 and 57-58 (see page 3, paragraphs, 0096-0105), wherein the monomer comprises 0.1 to 10% by weight as claimed in claim 48 (see page 8, paragraph, 0202), wherein the cationic amphiphilic polyurethane comprises monomers chosen from formulae (Ib) and (IIb) as claimed in claim 49 (see page 6, paragraph, 0157), wherein the monomer chosen from a monomer dimethylaminopropyl-methacrylamide and acrylamidopropyltrimethylammonium chloride as claimed in claims 50-51 (see page 7, paragraph, 0191 and page, 18, paragraph, 0402), wherein the monomer chosen from acrylic acid, methacrylic acid as claimed in claim 52 (see page 8, paragraph, 0208) and wherein the monomer chosen from (C₁₀-C₃₀)alkyl acrylates as claimed in claims 53-54 (see page 8, paragraph, 0211).

Therefore, in view of teaching of the secondary reference, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would be motivated to modify the composition of Cottard et al. (US' 514 A1) by incorporating the cationic polyurethane as taught by Laurent et al. (US' 431 A1) to make such a composition. Such a modification would be obvious because Cottard et al. (US' 514 A1) as a primary reference clearly suggests the use of cationic polymers in a hair dyeing composition (see page 8, paragraph, 0167). Laurent et al. (US' 431 A1) as a secondary reference clearly teaches the claimed cationic polyurethane polymers as claimed, and, thus, a person of the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to incorporate these polyurethane polymers as taught by Laurent et al. (US' 431 A1) in the dyeing composition of Cottard et al. (US' 514 A1) with a reasonable expectation of success to arrive the claimed invention and would expect such a composition to have similar properties to those claimed, absent unexpected results.

Response to Applicant's Arguments

3 Applicant's arguments filed 3/19/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the rejections of record, Applicants argue that the combination of the references fails to teach or suggest all of the claim limitations.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with the above arguments for the reasons set forth in the previous office action mailed on July 18, 2006.

Further, the examiner advises applicants to provide a data or showing to demonstrate that the claimed dyeing ingredients with the claimed ratio in the claimed composition provide superior and unexpected results over the composition of the closest prior art of record.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eisa B. Elhilo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1315. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (8:00 -4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas McGinty can be reached on (571) 272-1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Art Unit: 1751

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eisa Elhilo

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1751

March 27, 2007